NPS69-88-005

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

## Monterey, California

PERFORMANCE COMPARISONS FOR TWO VERSIONS
OF THE STANIFORTH-MITCHELL BAROTROPIC
NUMERICAL WEATHER PREDICTION CODE

R. E. NEWTON and A. L. SCHOENSTADT
July 1988

Interim Report for Period
October 1987 - December 1987

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

Prepared for:
Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility
[illegible]y, California 93943

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, California

Rear Admiral R. C. Austin
Superintendent

Harrison Shull
Provost

This report was prepared in conjunction with research conducted for the Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility and funded by the Naval Postgraduate School.



This report was prepared by:

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

| | |
|---|---|
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified | 1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS |
| 2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY | 3 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT<br>Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. |
| 2b DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE | |
| 4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS(S)<br>NPS69-88-005 | 5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION ORGANIZATION<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)<br>69 | 7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION<br>Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility |
| 6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)<br>Monterey, CA 93943 | 7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)<br>Monterey, CA 93943 |
| 8a NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) | 9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER<br>O&MN, Direct Funding |
| 8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)<br>Monterey, CA 93943 | 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS<br>PROGRAM ELEMENT NO / PROJECT NO / TASK NO / WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO |

11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)
PERFORMANCE COMPARISONS FOR TWO VERSIONS OF THE STANIFORTH-MITCHELL BAROTROPIC NUMERICAL WEATHER PREDICTION CODE

12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)
R. E. Newton, A. L. Schoenstadt

| 13a TYPE OF REPORT | 13b TIME COVERED | 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) | 15 PAGE COUNT |
|---|---|---|---|
| Interim | FROM 10/1/87 TO 12/31/87 | 26 July 1988 | |

16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION

| 17 COSATI CODES | | | 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) |
|---|---|---|---|
| FIELD | GROUP | SUB-GROUP | Finite element; numerical weather prediction |

19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

In a previous report R. E. Newton proposed two improvements to the Staniforth-Mitchell barotropic numerical weather prediction code. Reported here are results of performance comparisons between the original code and an amended version which incorporates the two improvements: a new solution routine for the eigenproblems and a direct solution of the Helmholtz equation. It is found that the proposed improvements do reduce the computation time, but that the new eigenproblem algorithm results in excessive round-off error when single-precision arithmetic is used.

| | |
|---|---|
| 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT<br>UNCLASSIFIED / UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS | 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL<br>R. E. Newton | 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)<br>408 646 3424<br>22c OFFICE SYMBOL<br>69 |


U.S. Government Printing Office 1986-606-24

# PERFORMANCE COMPARISONS FOR TWO VERSIONS OF THE STANIFORTH-MITCHELL BAROTROPIC NUMERICAL WEATHER PREDICTION CODE

## Introduction

Reference 1 proposed improvements to the Staniforth-Mitchell (Ref. 2) barotropic finite element code. It was anticipated that these improvements would effect significant reductions in computation time. Reported here are comparisons of calculated results and times required to perform key sets of calculations using the "original" code with corresponding times for an "amended" code which incorporates the proposed changes. The test problem uses a periodic East-West boundary condition, walls on the North and South boundaries, and a 12x13 grid. Timing data were obtained using an IBM 4381-M1 processor operating in the batch mode. (It was found that the system utility routines SETIME and GETIME do not give useful results when used in the time-sharing mode.)

## Timing Results

<u>Eigenproblem.</u> The first comparison is for the determination of eigenvalues and eigenvectors for the x-direction "stiffness" and "mass" matrices. In the original program the relevant routines are MTRXC and EIGEN2, both called in EBVSET. In the amended version the routines are SETABX, SETD2N, and PEREIG, all called by SETUP. Within PEREIG there are 3 calls to EIGVCP and 2 calls to RAYLYP. The time for the original version is 104 milliseconds and for the amended version is 34 milliseconds. Because the eigenproblem is solved 4 times in the original program and only 3 times in the amended version, the overall comparison is between 415 milliseconds and 101 milliseconds.

Time Step. For each time step the Helmholtz equation is solved once. In the original version an iterative scheme devised by Concus and Golub is used, whereas the amended version uses a direct solution. When only a single iteration is employed in the original version, the difference in solution times is entirely a result of the extensive rearrangement of the right-hand side of the Helmholtz equation that occurs in the Concus and Golub scheme. This rearrangement is effected in SOLHEL. It is believed that the most useful comparison is between the times required for a single execution of the routine TSTEP. For the original version this time is 372 milliseconds and for the amended version it is 331 milliseconds - a saving of 11%.

Remarks

In producing a version of the barotropic code incorporating the changes proposed in Ref. 1 it was discovered that calculated results diverged from those of the original version. It was not immediately obvious which results were better. The likely cause seemed to be differences between the two solutions to the eigen-problem. A comparison was made by constructing the product of the back-transformation matrix with the forward transformation matrix for each version. Since this product should yield the identity matrix, measures of the quality of the transforms were obtained by finding the standard deviation (from unity) for the elements of the principal diagonal and the standard deviation (from zero) for the off-diagonal elements. For the diagonal elements the magnitude for the original version was 3.5E-06 and for the amended version it was 5E-06. For the off-diagonal elements the disparity was greater: 5E-07 for the original and 25E-07 for the amended version.

Since the eigensolution of the amended version is iteratively improved by successive calls to RAYLYP and EIGVCP, efforts were made to improve results by additional calls to this pair of subroutines. Although this did result in addition of one cycle beyond the single cycle recommended in Ref. 1, no further improvement seems to be possible using single-precision arithmetic.

In order to resolve doubts concerning the eigensolution scheme of the amended version, a separate double-precision program was written to compare the eigenvectors and eigenvalues with exact results. Using 3 cycles of improvement, both eigenvectors and eigenvalues were accurate to 15 decimal digits.

## Conclusions

In Ref. 1 attention was directed to the marginal accuracy of single-precision arithmetic for this program. The present study seems to have encountered further evidence in support of this observation. Although the amended version shows some reduction in computation time, its adoption cannot be recommended without first verifying, by use of double-precision arithmetic, that it does indeed give results compatible with those of the original version.

While the present study was proceeding, a new possibility for major improvement in computational efficiency came to attention. In Ref. 3 Temperton and Staniforth describe a new time integration algorithm which they call semi-Lagrangian, semi-implicit. They show that it allows much longer time steps than the present scheme while still giving equal accuracy. Serious consideration should be given to incorporating the new algorithm in the barotropic program.

## List of References

1. Newton, R. E., "Improvements to the Staniforth-Mitchell Barotropic Numerical Weather Prediction Code," NPS69-86-008, Naval Postgraduate School, October 1986.

2. Staniforth, A. N., and H. L. Mitchell, "A Semi-Implicit Finite Element Barotropic Model," Monthly Weather Review, v. 105, pp. 154-169, February 1977.

3. Temperton, Clive, and Andrew Staniforth, "An Efficient Two-Time-Level Semi-Lagrangian Semi-Implicit Integration Scheme," Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., v. 113, pp. 1025-1039, 1987.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

| | | Copies |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Defense Technical Information Center<br>Cameron Station<br>Alexandria, Virginia 22314 | 2 |
| 2. | Superintendent<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, California 93943<br>ATTN Code 0142 Library | 2 |
| 3. | Commanding Officer<br>Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility<br>Monterey, California 93943 | 5 |
| 4. | Professor R. T. Williams, Code 63Wu<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, California 93943 | 5 |
| 5. | Professor R. E. Newton, Code 69Ne<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, California 93943 | 5 |
| 6. | Professor A. L. Schoenstadt, Code 53Zh<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, California 93943 | 3 |
| 7. | Professor D. Salinas, Code 69Sa<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, California 93943 | 1 |
| 8. | Doctor A. N. Staniforth<br>Recherche en Prevision Numerique<br>Atmospheric Environment Service<br>Dorval, Quebec H9P 1J3<br>Canada | 1 |
| 9. | Research Administration, Code 012<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, California 93943 | 1 |
| 10. | Professor Beny Neta, Code 53Nd<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, California 93943 | 1 |